

ALLEN RUBY (SBN 47109)  
 LAW OFFICES OF ALLEN RUBY  
 125 South Market Street #1001  
 San Jose, CA 95113  
 Telephone: (408) 998-8500 ext. 204  
 Facsimile: (408) 998-8503

CRISTINA C. ARGUEDAS (SBN 87787)  
 TED W. CASSMAN (SBN 98932)  
 ARGUEDAS, CASSMAN & HEADLEY, LLP  
 803 Hearst Avenue  
 Berkeley, CA 94710  
 Telephone: (510) 845-3000  
 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003

DENNIS P. RIORDAN (SBN 69320)  
 DONALD M. HORGAN (SBN 121547)  
 RIORDAN & HORGAN  
 523 Octavia Street  
 San Francisco, CA 94102  
 Telephone: (415) 431-3472  
 Facsimile: (415) 552-2703

Attorneys for Defendant  
 BARRY LAMAR BONDS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No. CR 07 0732 SI
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR</b>
vs.	)	<b>LEAVE TO FILE SEALED,</b>
	)	<b>OVERSIZED REPLY MEMORANDUM</b>
BARRY LAMAR BONDS,	)	<b>IN SUPPORT OF MOTION IN LIMINE</b>
	)	<b><u>TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE</u></b>
Defendant.	)	
	)	

Defendant Barry Bonds hereby moves this Court for an order granting him leave to file his accompanying reply memorandum, which contains 28 pages, in support of his pending motion in limine scheduled for hearing on Thursday, February 5, 2009 at 10:30 a.m. in the Courtroom of the Honorable Susan Illston.

In support of this motion, Dennis P. Riordan declares under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am one of the attorneys for defendant Bonds in this matter.

1           2. Accompanying this motion is defendant's proposed reply memorandum in support of  
2 his petition motion in limine to exclude evidence filed on January 15, 2009. The proposed  
3 memorandum contains 28 pages and thus exceeds the 15 page limit normally applied to such  
4 memoranda under Criminal Local Rules 47-2(d) and Civil Local Rule 7-4(b).

5           3. The reply memorandum is necessitated by the pleadings that preceded it. Under the  
6 Court's scheduling order, the parties were first to exchange documents that would include an  
7 offer of proof by the government as to contested evidentiary issues. The government provided  
8 the defendant with a four page letter in that regard containing no information on certain key  
9 questions. As merely one example, while the government's letter indicated that the it would  
10 tender certain hearsay statements as those of co-conspirators, it said nothing about the nature of  
11 the conspiracy the statements purportedly furthered.

12           4. On January 15, 2009, the defense then filed with the Court a 26-page motion in limine  
13 objecting to evidence that it anticipated would be offered by the government at trial and to the  
14 theories upon which that evidence would be proffered, to the extent the government's letter  
15 permitted defense counsel to discern what that evidence and those theories would be.

16           5. On January 29, 2009, the government responded with a 53-page opposition that,  
17 needless to say, contained a wealth of information and argument not previously provided to the  
18 defense.

19           6. Defense counsel submit that it will facilitate the Court's decision-making if it receives  
20 defendant's accompanying reply to these new matters in writing and in advance of the hearing  
21 scheduled for Thursday, February 5<sup>th</sup>.

22           7. Defendant recognizes that today, the Court ordered the pleadings in this matter  
23 unsealed. Because that order does not take effect until this Wednesday, February 4th, defendant  
24 requests that the proposed reply and any supporting exhibits be placed under seal until that time.

25                               //

26                               //

27                               //

8 For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request that the Court permit the filing under seal of defendant Bonds's proposed reply memorandum and supporting exhibits.

Executed this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Dennis P. Riordan  
Dennis P. Riordan